

(12) **UK Patent Application** (19) **GB** (11) **2 395 090** (13) **A**

(43) Date of A Publication **12.05.2004**

(21) Application No: **0222632.2**

(22) Date of Filing: **01.10.2002**

(71) Applicant(s):
IPWireless Inc
(Incorporated in USA - Delaware)
1001 Bayhill Drive 2nd Floor, San Bruno,
California 94066, United States of America

(72) Inventor(s):
Andrew Gordon Williams

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service:
Peter Hudson
InetIP, 121 Blackberry Lane, Four Marks,
ALTON, Hampshire, GU34 5DJ,
United Kingdom

(51) INT CL⁷:
H04L 12/56

(52) UK CL (Edition W):
H4L LDGP L205 L213


(56) Documents Cited:
WO 2002/030056 A2 **US 2002/0114274 A1**

(58) Field of Search:
UK CL (Edition V) H4L
INT CL⁷ H04L, H04Q
Other: ONLINE: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO; INSPEC

(54) Abstract Title: **Method of session control in a wireless communication network**

(57) An arrangement and method for session control in a UMTS radio network (100) employs stateful inspection, in which the packets are parsed to reveal IP addresses and TCP port numbers. Application-specific packets in a data stream are detected, and dedicated packet sessions (PDP contexts) with application-specific QoS parameters for particular data services are created and deleted without requiring the explicit co-operation of application software.

FIG. 12






FIG. 1
Prior Art




FIG. 2
Prior Art




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5







FIG. 6

FIG. 7






FIG. 10




FIG. 11

FIG. 12





FIG. 13



ARRANGEMENT AND METHOD FOR SESSION CONTROL IN WIRELESS
COMMUNICATION NETWORK

5 **Field of the Invention**

This invention relates to session control in communication networks, and particularly (though not exclusively) wireless networks such as UMTS 3G (Universal
10 Mobile Telecommunication System 3rd Generation) mobile wireless networks.

Background of the Invention

15

In the field of this invention it is known that the UMTS specifications:

- [1] 3GPP TS 23.107 - 3rd Generation Partnership
Project; Technical Specification Group Services
20 and System Aspects; QoS Concept and Architecture
(Release 1999)"
- [2] 3GPP TS 27.007 - 3rd Generation Partnership
Project; Technical Specification Group Terminals;
AT command set for User Equipment (UE) (Release
25 1999)"
- [3] 3GPP TS 23.057 - 3rd Generation Partnership
Project; Technical Specification Group Terminals;
Mobile Station Application Execution Environment
(MExE); Functional description; Stage 2 (Release
30 1999) and

[4] 3GPP TS 24.008 - 3rd Generation Partnership
Project; Technical Specification Group Core
Network; Mobile radio interface layer 3
specification; Core Network Protocols - Stage 3
5 (Release 1999)

work on the principle that data packets for different
data flows relating to specific services, are carried
over separate packet sessions over the air. For example,
streaming audio packets could be carried over one packet
10 session, web browsing over another, email download over
another, etc. The logic for this is that different QoS
parameters (bandwidth, latency etc.) can be applied to
the different services being used.

15 The UMTS standards [1] define 4 'classes' of packet
flows, based on 4 categories of applications:

- Conversational class (e.g., interactive voice /
video)
- Streaming class (e.g., streaming media such as
20 Internet radio, or streaming video)
- Interactive class (e.g., web browsing)
- Background class (e.g., email download).

The corollary of this is that the wireless network needs
25 to know when particular services are being used, so that
it can correctly route data packets for each session over
the relevant packet session over the air.

The UMTS standards imply a tight coupling between the
30 applications and the mobile device, whereby the
applications inform the mobile device (UE) that they are

starting up, and what are the QoS characteristics of the traffic they will be sending / receiving. This will either be a direct, software interface in the case of integrated mobile devices as in standards [3] or can be
5 an AT command interface as in standards [2].

However, the problem with the approach in the current set of UMTS specifications is that they require special versions of application software (email clients, web
10 browsers, video streaming clients, etc.) to implement an (internal or external) interface to the UE. A standard PC (Personal Computer), when connected to a UE, with standard applications, will not support such an interface and therefore cannot support packet flows of different
15 QoS for different applications, as demanded by the standards.

A need therefore exists for arrangement and method for session control in wireless communication network wherein
20 the abovementioned disadvantage(s) may be alleviated.

Stateful inspection is an existing, well-known technology, used in Internet firewalls - a firewall blocks packets coming into or out of a network, except
25 those explicitly allowed. Stateful inspection is a process whereby the firewall inspects packets flowing into it, implies the state of an application-specific packet session via the control packets, then allows data packets for that session to flow through the firewall, if
30 the policy for flows of that type allow it.

The basic example of stateful inspection is the allowing through of TCP (Transmission Control Protocol) sessions originated from inside the firewall to an IP (Internet Protocol) address on the outside to be allowed, but TCP
5 sessions from outside to be blocked - this is the mechanism that allows through web browsing and FTP (File Transfer Protocol) requests from the inside of a firewall, but blocks requests from the outside to web servers inside the firewall.

10

This is done by catching TCP connection request packets (packets originating from inside the firewall with the 'SYN' flag set), starting the TCP '3-way handshake' (as explained, for example, in Chapter 18 of "TCP/IP
15 Illustrated, Volume 1, The Protocols" authored by W. Richard Stevens and published by Addison Wesley), then opening up the source and destination IP addresses and TCP port numbers, forwarding on the packet to the outside and then monitoring the subsequent TCP control packets to
20 ensure the connection came up and also to catch the eventual tear-down of the TCP session.

Another example of stateful inspection in firewalls for a UDP-based (User Datagram Protocol-based) service is to
25 allow voice over IP (VoIP) calls through the firewall. In this example, incoming VoIP call-control messages are inspected and parsed to reveal the VoIP end-points (IP address and port number) and allow voice data packets through the firewall.

30

Statement of Invention

In accordance with a first aspect of the present invention there is provided an arrangement for session control in a wireless communication network as claimed in claim 1.

In accordance with a second aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for session control in a wireless communication network as claimed in claim 19.

Brief Description of the Drawings

15

One arrangement and method for session control in a wireless communication network incorporating the present invention will now be described, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

20

FIG. 1 shows a schematic diagram illustrating prior art data flow across an Internet network firewall between an inside host and an outside host, and showing set-up and tear-down of a session;

25

FIG. 2 shows a schematic diagram illustrating prior art VoIP data flow across an Internet network firewall between a PC and an Internet Protocol telephone set and showing set-up and tear-down;

30

FIG. 3 shows a schematic diagram of an arrangement illustrating application of stateful inspection to UMTS session management, in the context of POP3 email download, which is mapped to a 'background class' PDP context, in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 4 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating flow of data packets in a default PDP context of the arrangement of FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating detection of email download session control packets to activate a secondary PDP context for the email download session in the arrangement of FIG. 3;

FIG. 6 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating flow of email packets and other data packets, and their respective processing as two parallel contexts, during the email download session in the arrangement of FIG. 3;

FIG. 7 shows a schematic diagram of an arrangement, similar to FIG. 3, illustrating the use of simultaneous default and secondary PDP contexts to provide separate PDP contexts for the email and data packets of FIG. 6;

FIG. 8 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating flow of data packets in a default PDP context;

FIG. 9 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating detection of VoIP session control packets to activate a secondary PDP context for controlling a VoIP session;

5

FIG. 10 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating flow of VoIP session control packets, VoIP packets and other packets, and their respective processing as two parallel contexts, during a VoIP session;

10

FIG. 11 shows a block schematic diagram of a UMTS network, in which packet sessions (PDP contexts) are created between UE and SGSN and forwarded on through to the GGSN, where the various packet sessions are bonded back together and connected to the target network (e.g., the Internet) in a single packet stream, in accordance with the invention;

15

FIG. 12 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating UE packet flow architecture in an uplink direction, in accordance with the invention, in the network of FIG. 11; and

20

FIG. 13 shows a block schematic diagram illustrating UE packet flow architecture in a downlink direction in accordance with the invention, in the network of FIG. 11.

25

Description of Preferred Embodiment(s)

Referring firstly to FIG. 1, a known Internet network firewall 10 intermediates between an inside host 20 and
5 an outside host 30. Operation of the firewall 10 is as follows:

- At (1), session set-up begins when an outgoing TCP connection detected. The firewall 10 is opened up for remote IP address and source and destination TCP
10 port numbers, and waits for a SYN response; a session object created by a stateful inspector (not shown).
- At (2), the end of 3-way handshake detected, and 2-way TCP session packets are allowed to flow.
- 15 • At (3), the start of TCP connection tear-down detected with a FIN packet from the inside host 20.
- At (4), the TCP session teardown is complete, and far end IP address & session TCP port numbers are blocked by the firewall 10.

20

Referring now to FIG. 2, a known Internet network firewall 110 intermediates VoIP data flow between a PC (Personal Computer) 120 and an Internet Protocol telephone set 130. Operation of the firewall 110 is as follows:

- 25 • At (1), session set-up begins when an outgoing SIP session request (INVITE) is detected. The firewall 110 is opened up for remote IP address and source and destination port numbers for SIP control messages, and waits for an ACK response; a session object is
30 created by a stateful inspector (not shown).

- At (2), a successful ACK is received, and UDP source and destination port numbers are opened up for an RTP session, based on fields parsed out of INVITE and ACK messages. 2-way UDP voice session packets are allowed to flow.
- At (3), start of SIP connection tear-down is detected.
- At (4), SIP session teardown is complete, and far end IP address and session UDP port numbers are blocked by the firewall 110.

However, the firewalls 10 and 110 are known only in fixed-line, i.e., wired, applications.

- 15 The UMTS standards define 4 'classes' of packet flows, based on 4 categories of applications (conversational class - e.g., interactive voice / video; streaming class - e.g., streaming media such as Internet radio, or streaming video; interactive class - e.g., web browsing; 20 and background class - e.g., email download).

The wireless network therefore needs to know when particular services are being used, so that it can correctly route data packets for each session over the 25 relevant packet session over the air.

The UMTS standards imply a tight coupling between the applications and the mobile device, whereby the applications inform the mobile device (UE) that they are 30 starting up, and what are the QoS characteristics of the traffic they will be sending / receiving. This will

either be a direct, software interface in the case of integrated mobile devices as in standards, or can be an AT command interface as in standards.

5 However, the problem with the approach in the current set of UMTS specifications is that they require special versions of application software (email clients, web browsers, video streaming clients, etc.) to implement an (internal or external) interface to the UE. A standard PC
10 (Personal Computer), when connected to a UE, with standard applications, will not support such an interface and therefore cannot support packet flows of different QoS for different applications, as demanded by the standards.

15

In a preferred embodiment, the present invention overcomes this drawback by applying Stateful Inspection to UMTS Session Management.

20 Briefly stated, in a preferred embodiment, stateful inspection is used in a UTRA (UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access) system to examine the data packets, to detect the existence of different application-specific packet flows, which then allows packet-sessions, called PDP (Packet
25 Data Protocol) contexts in the UMTS standards, to be created over the air with the required QoS parameters.

The UE brings up a default PDP context for basic data service; all packets are then inspected, both incoming
30 and outgoing, and application-specific traffic is used to open-up dedicated PDP contexts to carry that traffic.

One example of how this is used is detection of a POP3 email download, mapping it to a 'background class' PDP context.

5

As depicted in FIG. 3, a PC 210 (generally referred to as TE - Terminal Equipment - in UMTS terminology) is coupled, via R interface 215, to UMTS UE 220 (containing a USIM - not shown - which together with the UE forms MT
10 - Mobile Terminal - in UMTS terminology). The UE 220 is coupled through the UMTS radio access and core network 230 via at least a default PDP context 240. The UMTS radio access and core network 230 is coupled via a Gi reference point 250) to the Internet 260, containing an
15 email server 270.

Referring now also to FIG. 4, FIG. 5 and FIG. 6, the UE 220 contains a stateful inspection session monitor 280.

20 As shown in FIG. 4, the stateful inspection session monitor 280 monitors packets 290 on the default PDP context 240, looking for email download control messages. In the absence of an email download control message, the UE 220 processes the data packets 290 through RLC (Radio
25 Link Controller) 300, MAC (Medium Access Controller) 310 and CDMA (Time-Division Code-Division-Multiple-Access) physical air interface 320 in known manner.

As shown in FIG. 5, when the stateful inspection session
30 monitor 280 detects an email download control message 330, it causes a session manager 340 to activate a

secondary PDP context 350. In the activated secondary PDP context 350, the email download control packet(s) are processed through RRC (Radio Resource Controller) 360, RLC 370, MAC 380 and CDMA physical air interface 390 in
5 known manner.

As shown in FIG. 6, after activation of the secondary PDP context 350, data packets 290 and email packets 400 are processed in parallel in separate PDP contexts as
10 follows:

- data packets 290 are processed in default PDP context 240, and
- email packets 400 are processed in PDP context 350.

15 Thus, as shown in in FIG. 7, the email download session is conducted between the email server 270 and an email program 410 (running on the PC 210) via the PDP context 350, while the default PDP context 240 continues to process data packets as before.

20 Referring now to FIG. 8, FIG. 9 and FIG. 10, another example application of the present invention, is a VoIP session initiation using SIP (as in 3GPP RFC - Request For Comments - 3261 "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol",
25 June 2002) which is mapped into a conversational class PDP context to carry the VoIP traffic and an interactive class PDP context to carry the VoIP signalling.

As shown in FIG. 8, the stateful inspection session
30 monitor 280 monitors packets 290 on the default PDP context 240, looking for VoIP session control packet(s).

In the absence of a VoIP session control packet, the UE
220 processes the data packets 290 through RLC (Radio
Link Controller) 300, MAC (Medium Access Controller) 310
and CDMA (Time-Division Code-Division-Multiple-Access)
5 physical air interface 320 in known manner.

As shown in FIG. 9, when the stateful inspection session
monitor 280 detects VoIP session control packet(s) 420,
it causes session manager 340 to activate a secondary PDP
10 context 350. In the activated secondary PDP context 350,
the VoIP session control packet (s) are processed through
RRC (Radio Resource Controller) 360, RLC 370, MAC 380 and
CDMA processor 390 in known manner. Referring now also to
FIG. 10, detection of VoIP session control packet(s) also
15 causes SM 340 to activate a further secondary PDP context
430.

As shown in FIG. 10, after activation of the secondary
PDP context 350 and the further secondary PDP context
20 430, packets are processed in parallel in three PDP
contexts as follows:

- data packets 290 are processed in default PDP
context 240,
- VoIP control packets 420 are processed in PDP
25 context 350, and
- VoIP packets are processed in PDP context 430.

Taking the specific example of the Session Initiation
Protocol [RFC 3261 - SIP: Session Initiation Protocol,
30 June 2002] the QoS parameters required for a particular

VoIP session can be derived or inferred from the SIP signalling.

A request to set up a session is contained in an INVITE

5 message, e.g.

INVITE sip:UserB@there.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP here.com:5060

From: BigGuy

To: LittleGuy

10 Call-ID: 12345601@here.com

CSeq: 1 INVITE

Contact:

Content-Type: application/sdp

Content-Length: 147

15

v=0

o=UserA 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 here.com

s=Session SDP

c=IN IP4 100.101.102.103

20 t=0 0

m=audio 49172 RTP/AVP 4

a=rtpmap:4 G723/8000

In this case the 'c=' parameter identifies the IP address
25 of the caller, the 'm=' parameter identifies this as an
RTP audio stream with local UDP port number and the 'a='
parameter identifies the characteristics (bandwidth,
encoding) of the audio stream.

30 The response message indicating answer to this might be:

SIP/2.0 200 OK

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP here.com:5060
From: BigGuy
To: LittleGuy ;tag=8321234356
Call-ID: 12345601@here.com
5 CSeq: 1 INVITE
Contact:
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: 147

10 v=0
o=UserB 2890844527 2890844527 IN IP4 there.com
s=Session SDP
c=IN IP4 110.111.112.113
t=0 0
15 m=audio 3456 RTP/AVP 4
a=rtpmap:4 G723/8000

In this case the 'c=' parameter identifies the IP address
of the called party, the 'm=' parameter identifies this
20 as an RTP audio stream with a type 4 (6.3 kbit/s G.723.1)
codec & local UDP port number of the called party and the
'a=' parameter identifies the characteristics of the
audio stream - basically the codec.

25 Finally the ACK message sent by the caller looks like:

ACK sip:UserB@there.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP here.com:5060
From: BigGuy
30 To: LittleGuy ;tag=8321234356
Call-ID: 12345601@here.com

CSeq: 1 ACK

So, by this time the source and destination IP addresses and port numbers + the bandwidth required are known -
5 basically enough to set-up a secondary PDP context to send the packets through.

It will be understood that the application of stateful inspection of packet flows to control UMTS session
10 management as described in the examples above presents a new and advantageous technique providing a UE interface allowing packet flows of different QoS for different applications to be supported simultaneously and in parallel, without requiring special versions of
15 application software (email clients, web browsers, video streaming clients, etc.).

Referring now to FIG. 11, viewed in the context of the UTRA system of FIG. 3 or FIG. 7, the VoIP example
20 described above in relation to FIG. 8, FIG. 9 and FIG. 10 can be considered as:

- (i) in the UE (220), detecting application-specific session initiation (incoming or outgoing) and setting up secondary PDP contexts to carry
25 application packet streams (350 and 430), and
- (ii) in GGSN 230C, GTP (GPRS Tunneling Protocol) sessions from SGSN 230B extending the PDP contexts all the way to the GGSN. The GGSN can use the per-PDP context QoS parameters (and any
30 other criteria it sees fit) to classify the IP traffic going into the target IP network.

Thus, in a UMTS network, packet sessions (PDP contexts) are created between the UE and the SGSN (via the Node B - not shown - and RNC 230A) and forwarded on through to the GGSN, where the various packet sessions are bonded back together and connected to the target network (e.g. the Internet) in a single packet stream.

It will be understood that although the invention has been described in the above examples with reference to email (POP3) and VoIP sessions, the invention covers additional or alternative sessions such as:
'conversational' class (e.g., Video over IP) where traffic may be based on originated calls controlled by SIP or H.323 protocol; 'streaming' class (e.g., carrying streaming media traffic controlled by Real Time Streaming Protocol); 'interactive' class; or 'background' class (e.g., carrying POP3 or SMTP traffic).

It will be understood that stateful inspector and packet filter entities exist within the UE; these may be implemented in software, firmware or hardware. The stateful inspector fits in the uplink and downlink packet flow, the packet filter fits in the uplink packet flow (in order to control the split of uplink packets into the correct PDP context). A session management software entity exists within the UE; it controls the activation and de-activation of PDP contexts. The relationship between stateful inspector, packet filter and session management is illustrated in the FIG. 12 and FIG. 13

Referring now to FIG. 12 and FIG. 13, which show uplink and downlink packet flow architectures respectively in the UE (220 or 130A):

- 5 The stateful inspector 450:
- Detects application-specific control messages in the uplink and the downlink.
 - Implements an interface to the session management entity 460 to control activation / deactivation of application-specific PDP contexts, including
10 sufficient information regarding the IP packet flow for that instance of that application (source / destination IP address, UDP/TCP indicator and source / destination port number).
 - 15 • Implements an interface from session manager 460 to inform it of timed-out sessions.

Session manager 460:

- 20 • Implements the interface from the stateful inspector 450 to initiate activation / de-activation of secondary PDP contexts.
- Controls the activation / de-activation of secondary (application-specific) PDP contexts over the UMTS air interface.
- 25 • Implements an interface to add / delete with uplink packet-flow filters in the packet filter entity 470 (source / destination IP address, UDP/TCP indicator and source / destination port number).

30 The packet filter 470:

- Implements the interface from session manager 460 to add / remove packet filters and associate them to secondary PDP contexts in the uplink.

5 It will be appreciated that the method described above for session management in a UMTS radio access network may be carried out in software running on a processor (not shown), and that the software may be provided as a computer program element carried on any suitable data
10 carrier (also not shown) such as a magnetic or optical computer disc.

It will be also be appreciated that the method described above for session management in a UMTS radio access
15 network may alternatively be carried out in hardware, for example in the form of an integrated circuit (not shown) such as an FPGA (Field Programmable Gate Array) or ASIC (Application Specific Integrated Integrated Circuit).

20 It will be understood that the arrangement and method for session control in a wireless communication network described above provides the advantage of allowing session set-up and tear-down control of dedicated packet sessions for particular data services, in a UMTS 3G
25 mobile wireless network, with application-specific QoS parameters, without the explicit cooperation of the application software (either via software API or modem AT command).

Claims

1. An arrangement for session control in a wireless communication network, comprising:
 - 5 means for detecting application-specific packets in a packet stream; and
 - means for activating, in response to the means for detecting, a plurality of packet sessions with application-specific QoS parameters, without
 - 10 requiring explicit cooperation of application software.
2. The arrangement of claim 1 further comprising means for deactivating at least one of the plurality of packet
- 15 sessions.
3. The arrangement of claim 1 or 2 wherein the wireless communication network comprises a UMTS radio access network.
- 20 4. The arrangement of claim 1, 2 or 3 wherein the packet sessions comprise Packet Data Protocol (PDP) contexts.
- 25 5. The arrangement of any one of claims 1-4 wherein the means for detecting comprises stateful inspection means, and the arrangement further comprises session manager means and packet filter means responsive to the stateful inspection means.

6. The arrangement of any one claims 1-5, wherein the means for detecting is arranged to inspect uplink packet flows to detect application-specific packet flows, via application-specific control messages.

5

7. The arrangement of any one claims 1-6, wherein the means for detecting is arranged to inspect downlink packet flows to detect application-specific packet flows, via application-specific control messages.

10

8. The arrangement of any one claims 1-7, wherein the packet sessions comprise conversational class PDP contexts.

15

9. The arrangement of claim 8, wherein the conversational class PDP contexts are arranged to carry Voice over IP (VoIP) traffic.

20

10. The arrangement of claim 8, wherein the conversational class PDP contexts are arranged to carry Video over IP traffic.

25

11. The arrangement of claim 9 or 10 wherein the traffic is based on originated calls controlled by Session Initiation Protocol (SIP).

12. The arrangement of claim 9 or 10 wherein the traffic is based on originated calls controlled by H.323 protocol.

30

13. The arrangement of any one claims 1-7, wherein the packet sessions comprise streaming class PDP contexts.

14. The arrangement of claim 13, wherein the streaming
5 class PDP contexts are arranged to carry streaming media traffic controlled by Real Time Streaming Protocol.

15. The arrangement of any one claims 1-7, wherein the packet sessions comprise interactive class PDP contexts.

10

16. The arrangement of any one claims 1-7, wherein the packet sessions comprise background class PDP contexts.

17. The arrangement of claim 16, wherein the background
15 class PDP contexts are arranged to carry Post Office Protocol - Version 3 (POP3) traffic.

18. The arrangement of claim 16, wherein the background
class PDP contexts are arranged to carry Simple Mail
20 Transfer Protocol (SMTP) traffic.

19. A method for session control in a wireless communication network, comprising:

detecting application-specific packets in a packet stream; and

5 activating, in response to the step of detecting, a plurality of packet sessions with application-specific QoS parameters, without requiring explicit cooperation of application software.

10 20. The method of claim 19 further comprising deactivating at least one of the plurality of packet sessions.

21. The method of claim 19 or 20 wherein the wireless
15 communication network comprises a UMTS radio access network.

22. The method of claim 19, 20 or 21 wherein the packet sessions comprise Packet Data Protocol (PDP) contexts.

20 23. The method of any one of claims 19-22 wherein the step of detecting comprises detecting in a stateful inspector, and the method further comprises providing a session manager and a packet filter responsive to the
25 stateful inspection means.

24. The method of any one claims 19-23, wherein the step of detecting comprises inspecting uplink packet flows to detect application-specific packet flows, via
30 application-specific control messages.

25. The method of any one claims 19-23, wherein the step of detecting comprises inspecting downlink packet flows to detect application-specific packet flows, via application-specific control messages.

5

26. The method of any one claims 19-25, wherein the packet sessions comprise conversational class PDP contexts.

10 27. The method of claim 26, wherein the conversational class PDP contexts carry Voice over IP (VoIP) traffic.

28. The method of claim 26, wherein the conversational class PDP contexts carry Video over IP traffic.

15

29. The method of claim 27 or 28 wherein the traffic is based on originated calls controlled by Session Initiation Protocol (SIP).

20 30. The method of claim 27 or 28 wherein the traffic is based on originated calls controlled by H.323 protocol.

31. The method of any one claims 19-25, wherein the packet sessions comprise streaming class PDP contexts.

25

32. The method of claim 31, wherein the streaming class PDP contexts carry streaming media traffic controlled by Real Time Streaming Protocol.

30 33. The method of any one claims 19-25, wherein the packet sessions comprise interactive class PDP contexts.

34. The method of any one claims 19-25, wherein the packet sessions comprise background class PDP contexts.

5 35. The method of claim 34, wherein the background class PDP contexts carry Post Office Protocol - Version 3 (POP3) traffic.

36. The method of claim 34, wherein the background class
10 PDP contexts carry Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) traffic.

37. The method of any one of claims 19-36, wherein the method is performed in User equipment (UE).
15

38. User equipment (UE) for use in a UTRA system, the user equipment comprising the arrangement of any one of claims 1-18.

20 39. An integrated circuit comprising the arrangement of any one of claims 1-18.

40. A computer program element comprising computer program means for the method of any one of claims 19-37.

25

41. An arrangement, for session control in a wireless communication network, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to FIGS. 3-13 of the accompanying drawings.

30

42. A method, for session control in a wireless communication network, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to FIGS. 3-13 of the accompanying drawings.



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

Application No: GB 0222632.2
Claims searched: all

Examiner: Nigel Hall
Date of search: 24 March 2003

Patents Act 1977 : Search Report under Section 17

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Relevant to claims	Identity of document and passage or figure of particular relevance
X	1,19	WO 02/30056 A2 (OPUSWAVE) See abstract
X	"	US 2002/0114274 (STURGES) See abstract A1

Categories:

X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step	A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.	P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
& Member of the same patent family	E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.

Field of Search:

Search of GB, EP, WO & US patent documents classified in the following areas of the UKCV:

H4L

Worldwide search of patent documents classified in the following areas of the IPC⁷:

H04L; H04Q

The following online and other databases have been used in the preparation of this search report:

Online: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO, INSPEC